# INNOVATION IN AMERICAN GRAND STRATEGY—THE EASY AND THE HARD

Presentation by Steven Metz
U.S. Army War College Strategic Studies Institute
April 2011

including suggestions for reducing	ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar DMB control number.	arters Services, Directorate for Infor	mation Operations and Reports	, 1215 Jefferson Davis	Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington	
1. REPORT DATE APR 2012	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2012 to 00-00-2012</b>		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER				
Innovation in American Grand Strategy - The Easy and the Hard				5b. GRANT NUMBER		
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER		
				5e. TASK NUMBER		
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER		
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  U.S. Army War College, Strategic Studies Institute, 632 Wright Avenue, Carlisle, PA, 17013-5244				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)		
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAII Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited				
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO  Presented at the U.	otes S. Army War Colle	ge 23rd Annual Stra	ategy Conference	, 10-12 April	2012, Carlisle, PA.	
14. ABSTRACT						
15. SUBJECT TERMS						
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	7	RESI ONSIDEL I ERSON	

Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

## A WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY

- Evolutionary pattern of American strategy
  - + Gradual obsolescence or shock erodes consensus
  - + Period of debate (late '40s, early '60s, mid '70s, early '90s, post 9/11)
  - + New consensus
  - + Adjustment
- Now entering a period of debate
  - + Push and pull, challenge and threat
  - Malleable domestic politics

Potential for innovation

### CONSTRAINTS

- Strategic culture and tradition
  - + Intolerance for ambiguity
  - + Impatience
  - + Difficulty understanding the impact of culture
  - + Peculiar national self image
    - × Obsessive need to be liked
    - Difficulty understanding that American power is intimidating
- Domestic context
  - + Idea that public opinion shapes strategy
  - Intense, vitriolic domestic political conflict fueled by the profusion of information
  - + National security policy a political cudgel

### **EASY OPTIONS**

- \* A tighter regional shot group
- Resisting regime change
- (Re)reliance on stand off military power and coalitions
  - + The "Libya option"
  - + Conventional and counter-terrorism
- Slower pace of military advancement
  - + Little interest in "transformation" despite emerging technological break throughs
- Less focus on determining outcomes

## HARD OPTIONS

- Real offshore balancing
  - Preventing adverse outcomes rather than shaping positive ones
- Loosening conditions for partnerships
- Tightening conditions for partnerships
  - + The "partner problem"—flawed assumptions
- × Serious retrenchment
- A less state centric strategy
- \* An increased focus on nontraditional threats

#### THE WELL TRAVELED ROAD

- Likely trajectory—minor adjustment (the easy option) until obsolescence or shock
- Black swans may change everything
  - ×Major domestic attack
  - ×Global economic collapse or pandemic
  - ×High impact technological change
  - ×Emergence of new hostile ideology



Dr. Steven Metz Phone 717 448-6116

Email: steven.metz@us.army.mil

Web: http://www.strategicstudiesinstitute.army.mil/